## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: . Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)

•

•

CIRCUIT CITY STORES, . 701 East Broad Street INC., et al., . Richmond, VA 23219

•

Debtors. . September 7, 2011

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Tavenner & Beran, PLC

By: PAULA S. BERAN, ESQ.

20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

For Synnex Corporation: Schertler & Onorato, L.L.P.

By: DAVID H. DICKIESON, ESQ.

575 7th Street, NW Suite 300 South Washington, DC 20004

For Universal Display

Sands Anderson PC

and Fixtures:

By: WILLIAM A. GRAY, ESQ.

1111 E. Main Street, Suite 2300

Richmond, VA 23219

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

By: ANDREW CAINE, ESQ.

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

Los Angeles, CA 90067

For Discovery Arent Fox LLP

For Discovery Arent Fox LLP Communications, Inc.: By: JACKSON DAVID TOOF, ESQ.

1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20036

2

COURTROOM DEPUTY: In the matter of Circuit City

Stores Incorporated, hearing on Items 1 through 12 as set out
on proposed Agenda.

MS. BERAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. BERAN: For the record, Paula Beran of the Law Firm of Tavenner & Beran. With me this afternoon at counsel's table is Ms. Katie Bradshaw who is the Senior Trust Manager, as Your Honor has met many times. As well on the phone this afternoon is Mr. Andrew Caine of the Pachulski Firm.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, there are only a handful of matters on today's docket given the fact that we were just before Your Honor a couple weeks ago. But to keep -- maintain our pattern of having certain things at the first Omni and others on the second Omni we continued with today's Omni and set those matters as appropriate down for today even though there is a short window between the last hearing.

Item Number 1 is the Siegel versus Merrimack.

There's a couple motions as it relates to that. I previously reported to Your Honor that that matter had been settled. We would respectfully request that this -- those matters be continued until the October 19th Omni. The matter has settled, the matter has been documented and we're waiting for final consummation of that settlement before the removal of that

matter from the docket.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

14

15

17 **I** 

18

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: And is that the 19th?

Yes, Your Honor. MS. BERAN:

Okay, that will be continued to the 19th. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The second item on the docket is the Site A, LLC's second amended motion to allow late filing of proof of claim or in the alternative motion to file late proof of claim as an amendment to an informal proof of claim. Happy to report, Your Honor, that matter has been settled and has been documented and the documentation has been executed and the settlement has been consummated, therefore we respectfully request that the matter 13 may be removed from the Court's Docket.

> THE COURT: It will be.

Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, Item MS. BERAN: Number 3 is in the adversary proceeding Siegel versus B.R. Fries & Associates, LLC. In connection with that motion, Your Honor, that was filed by the defendant. We would respectfully request that that motion to dismiss be continued until after the underlying adversary proceeding has been mediated. that matter has not been mediated. I did receive an e-mail confirmation from counsel for B.R. Fries or local counsel for B.R. Fries, Mr. Daniel Press, yesterday, and he indicated that he did not oppose any such continuance. In fact, he thought that was the appropriate procedural remedy given where we are

in the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Then how long do we want to continue it out for? Where do we stand with the mediation of that matter? Your Honor, we respectfully request that MS. BERAN: the matter be continued out for 60 days.

> THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BERAN: Actually, Your Honor, I apologize. think it would be -- I did not discuss this with Mr. Press, a specific time period, but as I stand before you I think 90 days would actually be better given that certain matters have already been scheduled for mediation in September and October and we're trying no to jam too many in a time window, so it would be looking like that matter would be mediated in October.

THE COURT: Alright, so you want to set -- carry this matter over to that first December -- December 8 or whatever it is -- I think that's your date, isn't it?

MS. BERAN: Yes, Your Honor, the first December Omnibus date. And I apologize, I'm drawing a blank as to what that is. December 8th.

THE COURT: Okay. Well the courtroom deputy is shaking her head up and down so that's the right answer.

> Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BERAN:

THE COURT: Okay, it is December 8.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, the next category of matters 25∥are pre-trial conferences. As Your Honor may recall, these

5

6

7

9

11

12

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

were some of the initial matters that came before Your Honor a 2 little over 90 days ago for matters that had not settled during the formal mediation process. However, after that mediation 4 had concluded the parties in continued discussions thought that it would be appropriate to not necessarily set it down for an immediate trial and thereafter continue it for informal discovery so that either the matters could be resolved or hopefully if not the matters resolved issues could be resolved so we would have a better flavor for the timetable that -- and the issues that would need to be litigated before our Honor.

In connection with the first matter, Your Honor, that is the matter of Siegel versus Discovery Communications, Inc. I believe Mr. Jackson Toof from the Law Firm of Arent Fox is on the phone. He represents Discovery Communications. At this point in time the parties believe that that matter is ripe for setting for trial. And both parties Appreciate Your Honor giving us that additional 90 days. We have narrowed some of the issues. And we believe at this point in time that it would merely be a day trial so we'd respectfully like to set it down for a day. Based on Mr. Toof's calendar and dates that I had obtained from the courtroom deputy yesterday we'd respectfully request that that matter be set down on the Court's calendar on May 22nd. And if that's no longer available we'd respectfully request that it be set down on May 21st.

THE COURT: All right, we'll do the 22nd at ten

o'clock.

1

2

5

7

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the second item from the pre-trial perspective is the second 4 pre-trial on Siegel versus -- Your Honor, I apologize. Given that the trial date of May 22nd that's now ripe for Your Honor's standard pre-trial order, with one caveat I would represent in connection with all these matters Your Honor may recall the initial order continuing these did provide for the initial 26 disclosures to go out, so in all of these matters those initial disclosures have gone out. So Your Honor's original pre-trial or standard pre-trial order may need to just be modified just to reflect on or before if not already done so, for initial disclosures. But in all of these instances the initial disclosures have been done.

THE COURT: Okay, well I'll just delete that 16 paragraph from the Court's usual pre-trial order and issue the 17 pre-trial order accordingly.

Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BERAN: Item Number 5, the second of the pre-trial conferences, that is in the matter of Siegel versus Synnex Corporation. In connection with that matter, Your Honor, we stand before you today, and counsel for defendant is there, and we'd respectfully request or suggest to the Court that we continue this pre-trial again for an additional 90 days. The issue is this, Your Honor, the parties are actively engaged in settlement negotiations, settlement

discussions and in connection with that there is being exchanged e-mails between the defendant and Circuit City when the -- you know, Circuit City was operating in the requisite time period that is at dispute in the complaint.

There are additional e-mails that the Trust believes are in the records of the Circuit City, but that the Trust has not yet been able to access. We've been able to access a lot of the e-mails, but we're still having some difficulties and we continue to make significant progress in accessing all those. We are optimistic that in this time period we will continue to access those. Those e-mails will help the defendant ascertain or find additional information in its files to exchange and that the issues can be narrowed.

Your Honor, even if the matter isn't resolved what that process will do will significantly assist in the identification of how long discovery would have to be formal discovery, i.e., would we need to depose one person or would we need to depose ten people from both sides' perspective. So the counsel on both sides would respectfully request if we could have another 90 days of this kind of limbo period to continue the informal discovery because we have, I can represent to the Court, actively been -- both sides have been using that time period in good faith to try and proceed in an informal discovery in a mechanism that will help judicial economies and efficiencies.

THE COURT: All right. You wish to be heard, sir?

MR. DICKIESON: I believe that's actually summarizes the situation, Your Honor. We'd ask for that 90 days.

THE COURT: All right, I'm going to reluctantly give that to you. I really want to get these matters resolved and I'm worried when I just keep pushing them off that, you know, delay means, you know, not having to worry about it for 90 days. But I'm going to take you at your word that you're advancing the ball down the court and that we're going to get something for doing this, so let's set it out. You want to use that December date again, December 8?

MS. BERAN: Yes, please, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And then we will set it for trial then on that date if it's not resolved.

MS. BERAN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, and I can represent that as local counsel to the Trust Tavenner & Beran has been emphasizing that this Court as well as other judges in this jurisdiction keep matters on track and when we represent to the Court we need to represent accurate facts and everything I did state today came directly from my co-counsel. And we continue to proceed and the Trust and its staff are proceeding and it's not just a matter as unfortunately that happens in some cases where people just get busy and put it to the back burner.

THE COURT: All right. Well I am well aware of that

which is why I've given you the 90 days.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. DICKIESON: Thank you, Your Honor.

DEPUTY CLERK: Can I have your name for the record,

please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DICKIESON: David Dickieson, counsel for Synnex.

DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, the third matter from the pre-trial conference is Siegel versus Universal Display and Fixtures Company. In this instance, Your Honor, this is another example of where the 90 days actually has been very beneficial from a narrowing of the issues. There was informal discovery that was exchanged. One of the issues that initially 14 could have been tried we believe for the time being has been 15 resolved and so that the parties are now prepared to set it down for trial and based on the same we believe that this matter can be tried in a day and would respectfully request that we go ahead and set it for trial and Your Honor enter the standard pre-trial order.

MR. GRAY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. William Gray with Sands Anderson on behalf of Universal Display and Fixtures. We agree that we -- and do ask that we set it down for trial, and I think we suggested April 30th.

MS. BERAN: I apologize on that. Yes, Your Honor, 25 from discussions with the courtroom deputy I understand that April 30th and/or May 1st were available.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

20

21

22

23

I have both of those days available. THE COURT:

Does either one of them suit better than the other?

MR. GRAY: April 30th.

THE COURT: Okay, we'll set it for April 30th.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that will be at ten o'clock.

MR. GRAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then I'll do the same pre-trial order with removing the Rule 26 provision.

MR. GRAY: Correct. Thank you.

MS. BERAN: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, the next category of matters before you this afternoon are the claim objections, and specifically those relating to the debtors' omnibus objections. Item Number 7 is the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection. In connection with that matter, Your Honor, 17 there is one claim for it so the objection is still pending. 18 We respectfully request that that be continued until the 19 October 19th Omni.

THE COURT: All right, it will be continued to October 19.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on the Number 8, the Debtors' Thirty-First Omnibus objection, there are certain claims that still remain outstanding as it 25∥ relates to that objection and we'd respectfully request for

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those claims as identified on Exhibit A, that they be continued 2 until the October 19th Omni.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to the October 19th 4 hearing.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, Item Number 9 is the Debtors' Sixtieth Omnibus Objection. There is still one claim remaining as it relates to that omnibus objection. We'd respectfully request that it be adjourned until the October 19th Omnibus date.

THE COURT: That item will be adjourned to the October date.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 10 is the Debtors' Seventieth Omnibus Objection. In connection with that matter, Your Honor, there was one remaining claim for which the objection is still pending. The Trust does intend to withdraw its objection as it relates to that claim, and it may have been filed as I stood up here because as I left I had signed it and given that notice to my paralegal to be filed. So if it wasn't filed already it will be filed shortly and that mater can be removed from the Court's Docket in totality.

> THE COURT: All right, it will be withdrawn.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 11, the Debtors' Seventy-Sixth Omnibus Objection, there is one claim remaining for which the objection is still pending. respectfully request that that one claim be continued until the October 19th Omni.

5 II

19 I

THE COURT: That will be continued to the 19th.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on the Debtors' Seventy-Ninth Omnibus Objection there is still a handful of claims for which the objection is still pending.

We'd respectfully request that those be adjourned to the October 19th hearing, as well.

THE COURT: Those will be continued to October 19th.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, that concludes the items that are on today's docket from the Trust's perspective. To the extent the Court has any questions I'm happy to answer them as well as as I indicated I believe Mr. Caine is on the line to the extent I was not able to answer them.

THE COURT: All right. Well I have one scheduling issue I need to bring to your attention and that has to do with the December 19th Omnibus date that we have. I'm going to be out f town and would like to move that date either to the 20th or the 21st, if that's possible to do.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, either date is fine from from Tavenner & Beran's perspective, and that would be who would be attending, and I believe it would be fine from the Trust's perspective because we do have several representatives that can attend, so either date would be fine. I believe I may have represented the last time that we intended to set things

1 down for the December Omni hearing. I'm trying to remember if 2 it was just October and November. But in any regards no notice has gone out as it relates to that date so there wouldn't be 4 any issue as it relates to rescheduling of anything.

THE COURT: All right. It would be -- it would help 6 me out a lot if we could reschedule that then to the -- why don't we reschedule it to the 20th then.

MS. BERAN: Okay. Would that be at two o'clock, Your Honor?

> THE COURT: Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18 **I** 

20

21

22

23

24

Okay. And what I will do just so the MS. BERAN: 12∥Court's Docket accurately reflects in case anyone was to just pull down the omni dates, is I will do a supplemental notice of additional omni dates indicating that the 19th has been changed to the 20th and file it with the Court and link in to the original notice therefore all parties have notice of that.

THE COURT: All right, great. All right, that was the only issues that the Court had. Is there anything else we 19 need to take up in Circuit City?

MS. BERAN: That's all that the trust has today, Your Honor.

> All right, thank you. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. Court is now adjourned.

25

## WWW.JJCOURT.COM

## CERTIFICATION

I, LORI AULETTA, court approved transcriber, certify 4 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official 5 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 6 above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

/s/ Lori Auletta DATE: September 14, 2011 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

WWW.JJCOURT.COM